

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/836,978 04/18/2001 Werner Metz INTL-0489-US (P10269) 9073

7590 12/22/2005

Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 KATY FWY, STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805 EXAMINER KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		09/836,9	978	METZ, WERNER		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
			ni K. Kumar	2675		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATES AND A CHECKER STATES AND A CHE	NG DATE OF T FR 1.136(a). In no e on. period will apply and v statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 April 2005				
			action is non-final.			
	, <del></del>		nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
٧,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-11,14,17-21,26-28 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-11,14,17-21,26-28 and 30</u> is/are rejected.						
·	<u> </u>					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
	•	minor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•		1 051100004404			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
-	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	00/00)	6) Other:	and a photocol (i T	,	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following office action is in response to the Amendment filed April 14, 2005. Claims 1, 5-11, 14, 17-21, 26-28 and 30 are pending. Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 14 and 20 have been amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5-11, 14, 17-21, 26-28 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mumford (US 6,377,249) in view of Wiebe (US 6,689,966).

As to independent claims 1, 11 and 20, Mumford discloses a method comprising, a processor (col. 6, lines 10-15), resolving a display into at least two regions (col. 6, lines 10-15); generating a different sequence of characteristic values in each corresponding to a primary color (col. 8, lines 7-47) in each of said regions until the position of a sensor with respect to said regions is determined (col. 17, lines 15-47, col. 18, lines 9-49). Mumford does not disclose wherein the different sequence corresponds to a <u>unique</u> sequence. Wiebe discloses a system and method for determining positional information, and in col. 11, lines 8-45, Wiebe discloses generating unique sequence of characteristic values to determine positions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the unique sequencing as shown by Wiebe in Mumford as unique sequencing in position determination are advantageous as there would be no errors or confusion in determining the position (col. 1, lines 60-67, col. 2, lines 37-51 of Wiebe).

As to dependent claim 14, limitations of claim 11, and further comprising, Mumford discloses wherein generating a different sequence includes generating a different sequence of only two color values in each region (col. 17, lines 15-47).

As to dependent claim 15, limitations of claims 11, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including generating a different sequence of at least three color values (col. 17, lines 15-47, RGB, and col. 19, lines 1-22).

As to dependent claims 5 and 26, limitations of claims 3 and 20, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including generating a different sequence of only two color values (col. 17, lines 15-47, grey scale).

As to dependent claims 6, 17 and 27, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including displaying a series of frames and interspersing, among said frames, additional frames having at least two regions each displaying a sequence of characteristic values (col. 18, lines 9-49).

As to dependent claim 7, limitations of claim 6, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including displaying said additional frames such that they are substantially undetectable by the user (col. 18, lines 9-49).

As to dependent claims 8, 18 and 28, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including generating a different sequence of characteristic values by displaying a time sequence of frames each including at least two regions, and each of said regions displaying a time sequence of characteristic values (col. 17, lines 15-47, col. 18, lines 9-49 and col. 19, lines 1-22).

As to dependent claims 9, 19 and 29, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including interspersing frames containing said characteristic values and frames not containing said characteristic values (col. 17, lines 15-47, col. 18, lines 9-49 and col. 19, lines 1-22).

As to dependent claim 10, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, Mumford discloses including developing a sequence using fewer characteristic values than the number of regions (col. 18, lines 9-49).

As to dependent claim 21, wherein the display is coupled to said processor. Mumford discloses in Fig. 1, where item 12 is the display and item 16 is the computer processor, where the display and processor are shown to be coupled together.

As to dependent claim 30, limitations of claim 28, and further comprising, Mumford discloses wherein said sensor is a light sensor that detects a characteristic value in the form of light (col. 17, lines 15-47, col. 18, lines 9-49 and col. 19, lines 1-22).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-11, 14, 17-21, 26-28 and 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978

Art Unit: 2675

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Examiner

Art Unit 2675

SKK December 8, 2005

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Cumato hopeout

Page 5